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# PROPOSAL ONE-PAGE DESCRIPTION

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| PROJECT REFERENCE | | | | | | | | | | |
| Proposal Full Name | **<Proposal full name>** | | | | | | | | | |
| Acronym/short name | **<Acronym/short name>** | | | | | |  | |  | |
| Project Co-ordinator[[1]](#endnote-1) | **<Organisation name>** | | | | | | Country | | Budget[[2]](#endnote-2) | |
| Project Participant 1 | **<Organisation name>** | | | | | | Country | | Budget2 | |
| Project Participant 1 | **<Organisation name>** | | | | | | Country | | Budget2 | |
| Project Participant 1 | **<Organisation name>** | | | | | | Country | | Budget2 | |
| Planned Starting date | **dd/mm/yy** | | Duration (in months) | **00** | Total Cost in M€ (Millions) | **0.000** | | Total Manpower (Person Month) | | **000** |
| PROPOSAL ABSTRACT (10 lines max.) | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | |
| Relevance to SMART[[3]](#endnote-3) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Application Sectors | |  | | | | | | | | |
| Research and innovation domains | |  | | | | | | | | |

# PROJECT OVERVIEW

## RATIONALE OF THE PROJECT

### Problem Statement and market value chain

(Maximum length 1 – 2 pages)

Introduce here the **problem that the project aims to solve**. Explain the current issues, limitations or bottlenecks of what currently exists, explain the needs you plan to satisfy or new demand that you intend to create.

Describe the societal, economic and/or technological challenges addressed by the proposed project.

Also introduce the **market value chain(s)**. The market value chain is a representation of the various processes involved in producing products or services and delivering them to the market. It indicates where and how value is considered and created, and how the market actors in their respective markets can be profitable. It also describes the actors’ strategies and relative positioning: it must show all the actors involved in designing, producing, distributing the products and/or services and the relationships among them. All the peripheral actors who can influence the market(s), through regulations, recommendations, indirect suggestions, etc., must also be included. Describe clearly the interfaces between these actors and define the customer – provider relationship(s) wherever relevant.

**This subsection describes the context and background relevant to the project, in terms of technological and market status, not the project itself. It should convince evaluators that the project partners have a good understanding of the context in which they will be evolving, both technology- and business-wise**

### Project innovation and technology value chain

(Maximum length: 1 – 2 pages)

Describe the **specific objectives for the project**, which should be clear, measurable, realistic and achievable within the duration of the project. Objectives should be consistent with the expected exploitation and impact of the Project explained in following sections.

Present here a **brief view of the project innovations you are introducing**: focus the description on **novelty in terms of the state-of-the-art**. Innovation can include both technological, process, usage and business model innovations. Explain what the project brings to the table, how it differs from existing results and previous or current projects, products and services, how partners will be able to differentiate themselves from existing market actors and become competitive (or how they can create or reimagine a market). Remain concise in this section (cf. §2.2 and §2.3).

Introduce also the **technological value chain(s)**: it is a kind of modular architecture comprising the main functions and building blocks required to create the new solution, as well as their interactions.

**This subsection should convince evaluators of the novelty of the project proposal. It’s expected to state how the described new project aligns with other EUREKA clusters or EU projects (ongoing or finished), if applicable.**

### Sustainability Issues

(Maximum length: 1 page)

Information regarding sustainability issues should be included.

Will the results of the project impact the final project/process ecosystem concerning sustainability?

Which technologies will be considered addressing sustainable final results?

Can quantitative information be given in terms of sustainable outputs?

## TECHNOLOGY AND OUTPUTS

### State of the Art (SoA) analysis

(Length: Up to 3 pages)

Describe the **current technological situation in the project domain with a technical state-of-the-art**, with regard to current products, prototypes and research results and trends, both on the industrial and academic sides.

It’s also important to **relate to other similar projects, especially within EUREKA or EU (H2020)**. Evaluators may perform web searches about past or current projects being developed by the partners/other actors, with similarities to the submitted proposal. Therefore, it is recommended that the consortium makes a thorough revision of those projects providing a short description of how the proposed project relates to, and/or builds on and differentiates from them.

It’s also relevant to describe the **risks assessments derived from the technologies** tackled in the proposal and the actions to overcome them.

**This subsection should convince evaluators that the project partners have detailed knowledge of the technological background (and evolution) in the targeted field. SMART considers the State-of-the-Art analysis as a key tool to clearly understand and steer innovation all along the project lifespan.**

### Technological Innovation regarding the SoA

(Length: Up to 4 pages)

Clearly explain the **progress and technological innovation** proposed by your project, with reference to the current technology state-of-the-art. Explain what differentiates the project from other R&D efforts, how it builds on the SoA and which novelty it brings from a technological standpoint.

**This subsection should convince evaluators that the consortium has sufficient insight into the technological challenges and proposes significant breakthroughs to further technological innovation and novelty.**

### Expected Project Outputs and quantified KPIs

(Length: up to 2 pages)

**Detail the concrete final results of the project**: give a clear description of what will be its actual set of outputs (novel algorithms, standards, open source libraries, implemented collaborative framework, demonstrator, product prototype, new service based on e.g. software, wearable device, etc.). The description should be detailed enough to give a clear picture of what will be generated, including the core functionalities and levels of maturity.

At the end of the project, the results will be confronted with the content of this subsection (potentially updated through Change Requests). **A poor description will be considered as a lack of expected results, or as significant uncertainty about what will be delivered: clarity is therefore highly recommended here**.

The requested description must focus on tangible, realistic and credible outputs that will be developed within the project (if the project extends existing solutions, then clearly clarify the specific contributions of the project) and available at project closure, i.e. demonstrated at the final project review.

The consortium will have to identify KPIs (Key Performance Indicators) that will be used to measure the achievements objectively. **These KPIs must be SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant and Timely), must have an initial (State of the Art) value and a target value**. The KPIs will enable the consortium and evaluators to measure during the course of the project the progress achieved towards the goals.

The KPIs should not cover the steering & management of the project, but cover actual exploitation oriented project results.

Risk identification and assessment will be a key point at the FPP stage, so it is advisable to try **to identify relevant risks and provide mitigation measures to overcome them**.

**This subsection should convince reviewers that a clear analysis and quantification of project progress will be possible during the project lifetime.**

## TARGETED IMPACT

### Market Analysis

(Length: up to 2 pages)

Present here **a detailed market analysis** that is focused on the actual markets targeted by the project partners. Present market trends (e.g. graphics and figures), main products, describe the landscape in terms of competing or alternative solutions (companies, products…), the situation in Europe vs. US and Asia, etc.; provide figures whenever possible. Use up-to-date data or comment on outdated information (e.g. forecasts of several years ago). Do not rely solely on current market situations but consider also predictions and estimates of future growth from the latest studies.

Describe the **existing or announced industrial products or services in the project domain**. Explain which competitive advantages the market leaders have and how differentiation could be achieved towards them.

Detail why smaller actors are restricted to low market share (e.g. targeting niche markets or competitiveness issue) and how volatile the market currently is (are there more and more actors or is it the opposite? In the latter case, does it derive from market consolidation or from competitors dying out?).

**Present existing and potential and/or forecasted competitors**. Do not hesitate to introduce Porter’s five forces model of competition to describe (on top of the current industry competitors) not only suppliers and buyers, but also potential new entrants as well as threat of substitutes.

**This subsection should convince evaluators that the project partners have a clear and detailed understanding of the market they are targeting, including not only the current situation but also the current trends, forecasted evolutions and potential threats.**

### Consortium Market Access

(Length: up to 4 pages)

Describe **how the introduced innovation will help achieve competitive advantage**. Explain the expected business impact of the project with respect to the competition (see §2.3.1). Each of the partners (except for the academics and research centres) should clearly identify its markets, opportunities and how it intends to profit from them.

SMART projects are designed to enable organisations to achieve leadership positions in the value chains addressed as part of the programme. As such, **information should be provided in relation to potential IP, opportunities for company development (especially SMEs), along with an analysis of the market State-of the Art and competitor positions in the market leading to an understanding of how the outcome of the programme will enable leadership in the applications or systems served**.

Detail **how the partners will exploit the actual project results after the project end**. When possible, briefly discuss a timeline for commercialising the project outcome (keeping in mind that funded projects may not directly develop products or services): indicate the most relevant technology deployment time range, i.e. short-term (less than two years after project closure), mid-term (two to four years) or long-term (five years or more) that can be expected.

NB: while ambition is at the core of competitiveness, it is also important to remain realistic and credible with regards to the partner targets and capabilities.

Detail also in this section the global strategy deployed towards achieving the exploitation goals, for instance (and when relevant) through:

* **Standardisation**: Standardisation should be seen as a way to enable exploitation plans, e.g. by enabling a market to take off, by helping integrators to embrace the proposed technology, by counterbalancing proprietary solutions of leading competitors, etc.
* **Intellectual Property**: An efficient and strategic knowledge management including the safeguarding and protection of the intangible assets through Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) and confidentiality is a critical part of exploitation.

**Dissemination**: Dissemination and exploitation measures should address the full range of potential users and uses including research, commercial, investment, social, environmental, policy making, setting standards, skills and educational training.

Consider here **dissemination towards customers, communities (industrial, scientific, etc.), incl. communications, seminars, workshops, conferences, papers, courses, et**c. Dissemination must be seen as a tool to make potential customers or partners aware of the project achievements and results, within and outside the organisations participating in the project.

Define and justify a dissemination strategy actually supporting and having impact on the project, i.e. justify the choices made (e.g. why selecting given workshops rather than others). Indicate how the project results will be disseminated in the course and at the end of the project, i.e. by means of (e.g.) presentations in workshops and conferences, publications, etc.

If fast exploitation is expected, explain what exactly is targeted, and how the consortium intends to achieve these goals.

**This subsection should convince evaluators that the consortium is credible, legitimate and relevant to address the market and to exploit the project results (if successful) to generate business (i.e. that it can have an impact on the market). This subsection should be market oriented and should only focus on the long-term goals of the project (i.e. what is expected to be achieved thanks to the project outcomes, i.e. after the project closure).**

### Impact of the Project on the Overall Goals of SMART

(Length: up to 1 page)

SMART has at its core the following goals:

* To reinforce and enhance existing strengths in Europe by focusing on areas where Europe has strong leadership
* To close gaps across the European value chain for the implementation of advanced manufacturing technologies, from technology providers to end users - thereby stimulating the European Manufacturing market as a whole.

The consortia should identify how the successful conclusion of the project will contribute towards some or all of these overall SMART goals.

## CONSORTIUM OVERVIEW

### Cooperation added value: Business level

(Length: Up to 2 pages)

Position the consortium partners in the market value chains as described in §2.1.1**. Explain the business rationale behind the consortium composition, providing convincing elements regarding the consortium legitimacy in terms of the business**:

■ describe the core idea motivating the partners to collaborate and explain how this consortium helps them achieve their business goals;

■ describe the benefits foreseen for each partner, for each participating country.

■ describe how the cooperation is adding value;

■ explain why the international collaboration (and in particular the SMART programme) is a good way to reach the targets;

■ in the event that the consortium does not cover the whole value chain for the respective markets, explain why this is not an issue for the project, and how the consortium intends to overcome this missing link.

In any case, **it is strongly recommended to involve (directly or indirectly) end-users and potential future costumers in the project**, and to set up (whenever possible with these end-users) strong business cases which will derive in business-oriented demonstrations.

**This subsection should convince the evaluators that the consortium has enough business power to have an impact on the market and on the specific activity of the partners involved in the project.**

### Cooperation added value: Technology level

(Length: Up to 2 pages)

**Describe who among the partners will achieve the technological innovations and detail the technological added value of the consortium collaboration**. Focus on unique selling propositions that generate value.

Explain the **interactions between the key technology-oriented players**. Refer to the value chain explained in §2.1.2, and position the partners in that chain while underlying their specific role, added value and relevance here.

Explain the technological rationale behind the consortium composition:

■ describe the core idea motivating the partners to collaborate and explain how this consortium helps them achieve their technological goals;

■ describe what the key partners bring in, how their expertise is complementary, i.e. what makes them relevant partners.

Inactive, ‘sleeping’ partners must be avoided, both with respect to business and technological development.

**This subsection should convince the evaluators that there is enough R&D competence in the consortium, the consortium is appropriate and that value will be created from a technological point of view.**

## PARTICIPANTS INFORMATION

### Legal information and technical contact point for the Co-ordinating Partner

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FORM C** | **PARTICIPANT PROFILE** | | | | | | | | | |
| ***Legal Information on participating organisation*** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short name 1 | |  | | | | Type [[4]](#endnote-4) |  | | Role in the project [[5]](#endnote-5) | | | | | **C** |
| Organisation Legal name | | |  | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Legal Address | |  | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post code | |  | City |  | | | | | | Country 3 | | |  | |
| Core Business [[6]](#endnote-6) | |  | | | Affiliation (if any) [[7]](#endnote-7) | | |  | | | | | | |
| Size in personnel | |  | | | Annual Turnover [[8]](#endnote-8) | | |  | | | | | | |
| WEB address | |  | | | VAT number | | |  | | | | | | |
| ***Address of the Department/Laboratory carrying out the work and Technical contact point*** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Contact person | |  | | | | | | | | | | Ms. /  Mr. | | |
| Department name[[9]](#endnote-9) | | |  | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Address [[10]](#endnote-10) | |  | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post code | |  | City |  | | | | | | Country | | |  | |
| Phone | | + | | | Fax | | | + | | | | | | |
| Email | |  | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ***Authorisation to participate from legal representative*** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Contact person | |  | | | | | | | | | | Ms. /  Mr. | | |
| Phone | | + | | | Fax | | | + | | | | | | |
| Email | |  | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ***The undersigned, duly authorised to do so, certifies that the above information is exact and that my organisation intends to participate in the SMART project entitled [PROJECT ACRONYM or FULL TITLE] as described in the Full Project Proposal with the aim to realise the technical developments as set forth in this FPP and formally apply for SMART label to this project, should the SMART Board accepts this project.***  ***In addition, and by signing separately a Declaration of Acceptance of the SMART rules, the undersigned acknowledges his/her full awareness of the rules of participation in this SMART project.***  Done in on  Signature of authorised person and Stamp mark of the organization | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

**Note**: stand-alone Form C template can be downloaded from the website. Please collect completed & signed Forms C as early as possible to have them reaching SMART Office on time.

### Legal information and technical contact point for Other Project Partners

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FORM C** | **PARTICIPANT PROFILE** | | | | | | | | | |
| ***Legal Information on participating organisation*** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short name 4 | |  | | | | Type 6 |  | | Role in the project 5 | | | | |  |
| Organisation Legal name | | |  | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Legal Address | |  | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post code | |  | City |  | | | | | | Country 3 | | |  | |
| Core Business 5 | |  | | | Affiliation (if any) 6 | | |  | | | | | | |
| Size in personnel | |  | | | Annual Turnover 7 | | |  | | | | | | |
| WEB address | |  | | | VAT number | | |  | | | | | | |
| ***Address of the Department/Laboratory carrying out the work and Technical contact point*** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Contact person | |  | | | | | | | | | | Ms. /  Mr. | | |
| Department name 8 | | |  | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Address 9 | |  | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post code | |  | City |  | | | | | | Country | | |  | |
| Phone | | + | | | Fax | | | + | | | | | | |
| Email | |  | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ***Authorisation to participate from legal representative*** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Contact person | |  | | | | | | | | | | Ms. /  Mr. | | |
| Phone | | + | | | Fax | | | + | | | | | | |
| Email | |  | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ***The undersigned, duly authorised to do so, certifies that the above information is exact and that my organisation intends to participate in the SMART project entitled [PROJECT ACRONYM or FULL TITLE] as described in the Full Project Proposal with the aim to realise the technical developments as set forth in this FPP and formally apply for SMART label to this project, should the SMART Board accepts this project.***  ***In addition, and by signing separately a Declaration of Acceptance of the SMART rules, the undersigned acknowledges his/her full awareness of the rules of participation in this SMART project.***  Done in on  Signature of authorised person and Stamp mark of the organisation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

**Note**: stand-alone Form C template can be downloaded from the website.

## CONSORTIUM TABLE

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Short Name 1** | **Status 4** | **Country** | **Type 3** | **Main role in the Project[[11]](#endnote-11)** |
|  | **C** |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

# **IMPLEMENTATION - DESCRIPTION OF PLANNED WORK**

## PROJECT STRUCTURE

(Length: Up to 2 pages)

Provide **a global overview of the technical work to be performed and of the Work Breakdown Structure (work packages) envisaged towards it**. Use diagrams where possible and do not hesitate to separate the hierarchical view (organisation of WPs and tasks in a tree) from the process view (e.g. interdependency between WPs, yearly processes, etc.).

**Explain the interfaces and interactions between work packages, and between consortium members**.

Justify how the project structure supports the project objectives. Provide a PERT graph or similar to represent this project structure.

Consider gender issues. Is gender balance considered? Will this project impact gender equilibrium in the manufacturing industry?

**This section should convince the reviewers that the project structure helps the consortium achieve its goals.**

## WORK-PACKAGE OVERVIEW

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **WP n°** | **Title** | **WP Coordinator** | **Person-months** | **Start Month** | **End Month** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |

## WORK PACKAGES DESCRIPTIONS

(Recommended length: up to 24 pages)

For each Work Package (WP), make use of the table provided (see next page) and describe the following information in detail:

■ the timeline;

■ the starting point, objectives and expected results;

■ the WP tasks;

■ the detailed contributions of the partners involved in the WP;

■ the type, content, confidentiality and planned delivery date of deliverables.

Deliverables can be:

 either textual deliverables, i.e. documents (pdf, docx, etc.) (cf. “Doc.” in the second column of the deliverable tables);

 or demonstrators, pilots, prototypes, designs, components, software, etc

Make sure deliverable titles (descriptions) are self-explanatory. Detail also in the appropriate tables the task descriptions, the contributions per partner (every partner that indicates costs and effort on a given WP should have a proper description of its contribution in the related table) as well as the WP deliverables.

Refrain from planning too many deliverables and look for quality and relevance rather than for quantity: avoid duplicating the textual deliverables with different versions and report in this proposal only deliverables that are relevant at the overall project level. It is thus recommended to avoid defining more than 20 textual deliverables (except when it is highly pertinent and properly justified) and to focus on a reasonable and limited set of deliverables.

It is highly recommended to provide during or at the end of the project a public deliverable consisting of an update/extension of the State-of-the-Art described in the project proposal.

**This section should convince the reviewers that the planned work is precisely known and that the roles and contributions of each and every partner are clearly defined.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Work-package n° | **WP** | Duration [[12]](#endnote-12) |  | Start month |  | Total person-months | |  |
| Title |  | | | | | | | |
| Participants (short name) | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Person-months per participant | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Objectives:** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Description of the work** (tasks level):  Task 1.1 (Task leader and task participants)  Task 1.2 (Task leader and task participants)  .... |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Deliverables** | | |
| ITEM | Description | Due date |
|  |  | T0 + |
|  |  | T0 + |
|  |  | T0 + |
|  |  | T0 + |
|  |  | T0 + |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Milestones** **and expected results**: | Due date |
|  | T0 + |
|  | T0 + |

## LIST OF MAIN MILESTONES

(use the table template below)

**A milestone should represent a significant intermediate achievement, a date by which major results form the basis for a subsequent phase of work** (e.g. integration of some key components, process simulation, monitoring system implementation, or end-user validation, etc.), **or by which decisions are needed** (for example, concerning which of several technologies will be adopted as the basis for a subsequent phase of the project).

**Major demonstrations should also be considered as project milestones.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Milestone** | | | | |
| No | Milestone name | Related WP | Estimated date | Means of verification |
|  |  |  | T0 + |  |
|  |  |  | T0 + |  |
|  |  |  | T0 + |  |
|  |  |  | T0 + |  |
|  |  |  | T0 + |  |

## PROJECT PERIODIC REPORTS[[13]](#footnote-1)

Please, indicate in the table below due dates for submission of Project Periodic Reports to SMART.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Project Periodic Report** | **T0+y1** | **T0+y2** | **T0+y3** | **T0+y4** |
| **PPR1** | **xx.xx.xxxx** |  |  |  |
| **PPR2** |  | **xx.xx.xxxx** |  |  |
| **PPR3** |  |  | **xx.xx.xxxx** |  |

T0 = Planned Starting date of the Project

T0+yx = T0 + 1 Year from the previous report due date

# COST INFORMATION TABLE

## Total person-months and costs per partner and per year



**Notes:**

a) The figures in this section must match with those overview figures given in section 1 “Proposal One-Page Description

b) You should use Excel Worksheets that are provided separately (Financial Template). At the end, copy and paste images of those tables in sections 5.1 and 5.2 of this document. You should also send the original Excel document together with your FPP

c) Subcontracted entities must appear as another partner with no values for labour or costs; those figures must be included in the subcontracting entity’s line within the “sub Contract K €” box. A separate explanation must be provided about the subcontracting breakdown.

4. An overview of the overall financial plan should be added to clarify what is expected as public financial support and what comes from partners’ own funds.

## Total person months and costs per partner and Work Package



## Explanation on subcontracting

(Recommended length: ½ page maximum)

If subcontracting exists in the project, explain which entity is the subcontractor and who it is subcontracted by.

Which are the subcontracted activities? What is the cost of those subcontracted activities?

# 

# CONTACT WITH NFAs

The following NFAs have been contacted

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Country** | **NFA** | **Person contacted** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

1. *Organisation’s usual short name or acronym* [↑](#endnote-ref-1)
2. *Partner’s Budget in Million Euros* [↑](#endnote-ref-2)
3. *Please refer to SMART Technology Roadmap (section 3 for sectors – section 5 for domains)* [↑](#endnote-ref-3)
4. *Please Indicate:* ***LE*** *for Large Enterprise/Company*

   ***SME*** *for Small and Medium Size Enterprise/Company*

   ***U*** *for Universities*

   ***RTD*** *for Research Institutes* [↑](#endnote-ref-4)
5. *Use following codification:* ***C*** *for Project Co-Ordinator*

   ***P*** *for Project Partner*

   ***SC*** *for Subcontractor* [↑](#endnote-ref-5)
6. *e.g. “Aerospace manufacturer”, “Automotive OEM”, “Machine-tool manufacturer”, “automation service provider” … for industrial partners but also “University” or “Research Centre” are possible.* [↑](#endnote-ref-6)
7. *Please indicate the name of the organisation the partner is affiliated to* [↑](#endnote-ref-7)
8. *In MEuros – Indicate NA if non-applicable* [↑](#endnote-ref-8)
9. *If aplicable* [↑](#endnote-ref-9)
10. *If different from the one mentioned above* [↑](#endnote-ref-10)
11. *e.g. “System manufacturer”, “Component manufacturer”, “Technology provider”, “End User”, “System Tester” …* [↑](#endnote-ref-11)
12. *In months* [↑](#endnote-ref-12)
13. Project Periodic Report Template will be provided to project proposer together with the Label letter. Periodic Progress Report should be submitted following the timetable as foreseen in the above Table, and with a delay between consecutive reports which does not exceed 12 months. PPR should be submitted in paper or electronic form. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)